

KUL-TF-2002-17
 NORDITA-HE-2003-14

Effective matter superpotentials from Wishart random matrices. ¹

Yves Demasure^{a,b2} and Romuald A. Janik^{c,d3}

^a Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven,
 Celestijnenlaan 200D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

^b NORDITA,

Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

^c The Niels Bohr Institute,
 Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

^d Jagellonian University,
 Reymonta 4, 30-059 Krakow, Poland

Abstract

We show how within the Dijkgraaf-Vafa prescription one can derive superpotentials for matter fields. The ingredients forming the non-perturbative Affleck-Dine-Seiberg superpotentials arise from constrained matrix integrals, which are equivalent to classical complex Wishart random matrices. The mechanism is similar to the way the Veneziano-Yankielowicz superpotential arises from the matrix model measure.

¹Work partially supported by the European Commission RTN programme HPRN-CT-2000-00131.

²e-mail: demasure@nordita.dk

³e-mail: janik@nbi.dk

1 Introduction

An interesting problem of the nonperturbative dynamics of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theories is the study of gaugino condensation. This is described by an effective superpotential $W_{eff}(S)$ where S is the glueball superfield $S = -(1/32\pi^2)\text{tr } W_\alpha W^\alpha$ whose lowest component is the gaugino bilinear.

Recently a completely novel approach was developed for calculating these superpotentials for theories with matter fields Φ in the adjoint representation and an arbitrary tree-level superpotential $W_{tree}(\Phi)$. Initially formulated in the framework of geometric transitions [1, 2] it was subsequently translated into a problem in random matrix theory [3, 4, 5]. This generated a lot of work [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12] culminating in a field theoretical proof of the perturbative part of the conjecture [13]. Subsequently these ideas were extended to matter fields in the fundamental representation [11, 12] where $W_{eff}(S)$ was calculated for N_f flavors interacting with the adjoint field. In the above cases the effective superpotential is expressed purely as a function of S .

The object of this note is to show that the effective superpotentials for matter fields can be calculated directly within the same framework in a natural manner. This is interesting as the N_f - N_c phase diagram of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $U(N_c)$ gauge theories with fundamental matter has a very rich structure. Therefore it would be nice to be able to address these issues also within the Dijkgraaf-Vafa framework. The appropriate constrained random matrices relevant in this setting are the so-called (complex) Wishart random matrices ([14], for some recent developments see [15]).

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we will briefly recall the Dijkgraaf-Vafa prescription for theories with matter fields in both the fundamental and adjoint representation. In section 3 we will show how to calculate the mesonic superpotential purely in the random matrix framework. We find that a qualitative difference in the random matrix setup appears for $N_c < N_f$. We briefly discuss some generic features of $N_f = N_c$, the full solution of which remains, however, an open problem. We close the paper with a discussion.

2 Dijkgraaf-Vafa proposal with matter fields

The Dijkgraaf-Vafa proposal was originally formulated just for theories with adjoint matter. It has subsequently been realized⁴ [11, 12] that a natural extension of the proposal to theories with matter fields in the fundamental representation is

$$W_{eff}(S) = -N_c S \log \frac{S}{\Lambda^3} - 2\pi i \tau_0 S + N_c \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{\chi=2}}{\partial S} + \mathcal{F}_{\chi=1}(S) \quad (1)$$

where the $\mathcal{F}_i$'s are defined through a matrix integral

$$e^{-\sum_x \frac{1}{g_s^x} \mathcal{F}_x(S)} = \int D\Phi DQ_i D\tilde{Q}_i \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{g_s} W_{tree}(\Phi, Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i) \right\} \quad (2)$$

Here Φ is an $N \times N$ matrix, while the Q_i 's are N component vectors. In this expression the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $g_s \rightarrow 0$ and $g_s N = S = const$ is understood⁵. In (1) the first term, being the Veneziano-Yankielowicz effective potential [16], can equivalently be obtained from the prescription (2), through the inclusion of the correct volume factor in the measure for the random matrix model [4]:

$$\frac{1}{Vol(U(N))} \int D\Phi \quad (3)$$

The volume factor is proportional to $\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} k!$. When $N \rightarrow \infty$ this behaves like $\exp(\frac{1}{2}N^2 \log N)$. This factor will then contribute to $\mathcal{F}_2(S)$ through the relation

$$-\frac{N^2}{S^2} \mathcal{F}_2(S) = \frac{1}{2} N^2 \log N + \dots \quad (4)$$

So $\mathcal{F}_2 \sim -\frac{1}{2} S^2 \log S$ where we transformed the N into S through $S = g_s N$. The remaining factor of g_s is removed by the logarithmic part of the random matrix free energy. After differentiation, $N_c \partial \mathcal{F}_2 / \partial S$ gives the $-N_c S \log S$ Veneziano-Yankielowicz term.

From prescription (1), one obtains the effective superpotential for the glueball superfield S only. In the case of fundamental matter fields there are a number of other possible gauge invariant and holomorphic polynomials in the superfields like the mesonic fields $X_{ij} = Q_i^\dagger \tilde{Q}_j$. We would like to show how to

⁴Although already in [4] hints about the treatment of fundamental matter appear.

⁵In this paper we consider only the case of no gauge symmetry breaking and thus only a single S superfield.

naturally incorporate these degrees of freedom in the DV framework and how to obtain superpotentials for these fields. As a check of the method we will recover the standard Affleck-Dine-Seiberg superpotential [17] for $N_f < N_c$

$$(N_c - N_f) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\det X} \right)^{\frac{1}{N_c - N_f}} \quad (5)$$

We would like to stay purely within the random matrix framework and thus we refrain from performing ‘integrating in’ and other field theoretical procedures.

3 Superpotential for the mesonic superfields

First let us note that it is natural to expect that the proposal (1) should also hold for theories with only fundamental matter fields. This can be justified by the argument that one can always integrate out the adjoint field.

Now we want to express the effective superpotential in terms of mesonic superfields $X_{ij} = Q_i^\dagger \tilde{Q}_j$. The prescription that we want to advocate is to perform only a partial integration over the Q 's in (2) and impose the constraint $X_{ij} = Q_i^\dagger \tilde{Q}_j$ directly in the matrix integral i.e.

$$e^{-\sum_x (\frac{N}{S})^x \mathcal{F}_x(S, X)} = \int DQ_i D\tilde{Q}_i \delta(X_{ij} - Q_i^\dagger \tilde{Q}_j) \exp \left\{ -\frac{N}{S} W_{tree}(Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i) \right\} \quad (6)$$

where we made the substitution $g_s = S/N$. For $N_f < N_c$, $W_{tree}(Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i)$ is an arbitrary holomorphic polynomial in X : $V_{tree}(X)$. Then the effective superpotential is obtained from

$$W_{eff}(S, X) = -N_c S \log \frac{S}{\Lambda^3} - 2\pi i \tau_0 S + N_c \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{\chi=2}(S, X)}{\partial S} + \mathcal{F}_{\chi=1}(S, X) \quad (7)$$

In the above formula the Veneziano-Yankielowicz term is essentially put in by hand as required by gauge theory dynamics. A complete understanding of its precise origin, in particular in the above situation without the adjoint field, remains one of the few missing pieces of the DV proposal. The tree level superpotential $V_{tree}(X)$ contributes directly to $\mathcal{F}_{\chi=1}(S, X)$. An additional contribution to $\mathcal{F}_{\chi=1}(S, X)$ will come from the constrained integral over N_f vectors of length N

$$\Lambda^{-2N_f N} \int DQ_i D\tilde{Q}_i \delta(X_{ij} - Q_i^\dagger \tilde{Q}_j) \quad (8)$$

where the factor $\Lambda^{-2N_f N}$ was included in order to keep the integration measure dimensionless. Up to an inessential term $\exp(-\text{tr } X)$ this is just the probability distribution of (complex) Wishart random matrices [14]. In fact it depends crucially on the relative magnitude of N_f and N ($\sim N_c$). If $N_f \leq N$ the answer is given by⁶

$$A \cdot \Lambda^{-2N_f^2} \cdot \left((\det X) / \Lambda^{2N_f} \right)^{N-N_f} \quad (9)$$

where

$$A = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}}}{\prod_{j=N-N_f+1}^N (j-1)!} \sim \frac{1}{(N!)^{N_f}} \sim e^{-N_f N \log N} \quad (10)$$

For $N_f > N$ the situation changes drastically and a number of constraints appear between the elements of X . This case has been solved in [15]. It is reassuring that a qualitative change of the behaviour of the relevant matrix model occurs when $N_f > N_c$ similarly as for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theories [19].

Performing exactly the same reasoning as for the volume of the random matrix measure one gets a contribution to the superpotential $\mathcal{F}_1 = N_f S \log S$. Thus the Veneziano-Yankielowicz term gets transformed into

$$(N_c - N_f) S \left(-\log \frac{S}{\Lambda^3} + a \right) \quad (11)$$

It is convenient to choose the scale Λ such that $a = 1$.

The determinant gives a contribution to the $\mathcal{F}_1$ term as $-S \log \det X / \Lambda^{2N_f}$ so the full superpotential for both S and X is

$$W_{eff}(S, X) = (N_c - N_f) S \left(-\log \frac{S}{\Lambda^3} + 1 \right) - S \log \left(\frac{\det X}{\Lambda^{2N_f}} \right) + V_{tree}(X) \quad (12)$$

Note that the Intriligator-Leigh-Seiberg linearity principle [20] appears naturally within the matrix model formalism, as already pointed out in [7]. In the above case this is clear as the tree level potential $V_{tree}(X)$ does not affect the jacobian from the measure (8). Solving the F flatness condition $\partial W_{eff}(S) / \partial S = 0$ for S gives

$$\log \frac{S}{\Lambda^3} = -\frac{1}{N_c - N_f} \log \left(\frac{\det X}{\Lambda^{2N_f}} \right) \quad (13)$$

⁶See e.g. [15] for a quick proof and eq (15) in [18] for the numerical coefficient A .

Plugging this back into (12) we obtain the Affleck-Dine-Seiberg superpotential which was generated by the measure (8) in addition to the unmodified tree level $V_{tree}(X)$

$$W_{eff}(X) = (N_c - N_f) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{b_0}}{\det X} \right)^{\frac{1}{N_c - N_f}} + V_{tree}(X) \quad (14)$$

with $b_0 = 3N_c - N_f$ the coefficient of the 1-loop β function. We find it quite surprising that the rather complicated form of the nonperturbative Affleck-Dine-Seiberg superpotential arose just from the large N asymptotics of the constrained matrix measure (8).

Let us briefly comment on the case $N_f = N_c$ with $V_{tree}(X) = 0$. From the general form of (12) we see that the logarithmic term vanishes and S appears only *linearly* as

$$W_{eff} = S \log \left(\text{'something'} / \Lambda^\# \right) \quad (15)$$

thus it generates in a natural way a constraint surface satisfying the equation ‘something’ = $\Lambda^\#$. On this surface the effective potential vanishes. This is the correct qualitative behaviour for $N_f = N_c$ [19]. However in order to make the above analysis precise one would have to incorporate properly into the matrix formalism the new holomorphic gauge invariants which arise for $N_f \geq N_c$ i.e. the baryonic fields (that is why we put ‘something’ in the above formula). We leave this very much non-trivial case for further investigation [21].

4 Discussion

In this short note we have shown how one can recover effective superpotentials for matter fields in the fundamental representation directly from the DV matrix model framework. The matter fields are represented by vectors (more generally rectangular matrices). When passing to gauge invariant variables one imposes appropriate constraints on the integration over the matter fields. The large N_c asymptotics of the constrained matrix integral reproduces the whole nonperturbative Affleck-Dine-Seiberg effective superpotential. In the case of $N_f < N_c$ this is just the classical complex Wishart random matrix integral. It would be very interesting to understand this also from the field theoretical perspective, especially as it seems to be similar to the way that

the Veneziano-Yankielowicz superpotential arises from the measure for the (adjoint) matrix model. The latter case is not encompassed by the recent field theoretical proof [13] of the perturbative part of the DV proposal.

The prescription adopted in this paper is unambiguous for $N_f < N_c$ and can be easily generalized to other cases like bifundamental matter, $SO(N_c)$ gauge groups etc. When $N_f = N_c$ we see that a ‘quantum’ moduli space seems to arise naturally but in order to say anything definite one should still incorporate baryonic fields into the above framework. This is nontrivial since the asymptotics of the matrix integrals are extracted at large N_c and the definition of the baryonic field becomes ambiguous. We leave this open problem for future study.

Acknowledgments RJ was supported by the EU network on “Discrete Random Geometry” and KBN grant 2P03B09622. YD was supported by an EC Marie Curie Training site Fellowship at Nordita, under contract number HPMT-CT-2000-00010.

Note added As this paper was being completed ref. [22] appeared, where related results were obtained using a different method — an integrating-in procedure for the Dijkgraaf-Vafa superpotential $W_{eff}(S)$.

References

- [1] C. Vafa, “Superstrings and topological strings at large N,” J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 2798 [arXiv:hep-th/0008142].
- [2] F. Cachazo, K. A. Intriligator and C. Vafa, “A large N duality via a geometric transition,” Nucl. Phys. B **603** (2001) 3 [arXiv:hep-th/0103067].
- [3] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, “Matrix models, topological strings, and supersymmetric gauge theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **644** (2002) 3 [arXiv:hep-th/0206255].
- [4] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, “A perturbative window into non-perturbative physics,” arXiv:hep-th/0208048.
- [5] R. Dijkgraaf, S. Gukov, V. A. Kazakov and C. Vafa, “Perturbative analysis of gauged matrix models,” arXiv:hep-th/0210238.

- [6] N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, S. Prem Kumar and A. Sinkovics, “Exact superpotentials from matrix models,” arXiv:hep-th/0209089; N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, S. P. Kumar and A. Sinkovics, “Massive vacua of $N = 1^*$ theory and S-duality from matrix models,” arXiv:hep-th/0209099.
- [7] F. Ferrari, “On exact superpotentials in confining vacua,” arXiv:hep-th/0210135.
- [8] H. Fuji and Y. Ookouchi, “Comments on effective superpotentials via matrix models,” arXiv:hep-th/0210148.
- [9] D. Berenstein, “Quantum moduli spaces from matrix models,” arXiv:hep-th/0210183.
- [10] A. Gorsky, “Konishi anomaly and $N = 1$ effective superpotentials from matrix models,” arXiv:hep-th/0210281.
- [11] R. Argurio, V. L. Campos, G. Ferretti and R. Heise, “Exact superpotentials for theories with flavors via a matrix integral,” arXiv:hep-th/0210291.
- [12] J. McGreevy, “Adding flavor to Dijkgraaf-Vafa,” arXiv:hep-th/0211009.
- [13] R. Dijkgraaf, M. T. Grisaru, C. S. Lam, C. Vafa and D. Zanon, “Perturbative computation of glueball superpotentials,” arXiv:hep-th/0211017.
- [14] J. Wishart, *Biometrika* **20** (1928) 32.
- [15] R. A. Janik and M. A. Nowak, “Wishart and Anti-Wishart random matrices,” To appear in *J. Phys. A*. [arXiv:math-ph/0112017].
- [16] G. Veneziano and S. Yankielowicz, “An Effective Lagrangian For The Pure $N=1$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **113** (1982) 231.
- [17] I. Affleck, M. Dine and N. Seiberg, “Supersymmetry Breaking By Instantons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 1026; I. Affleck, M. Dine and N. Seiberg, “Dynamical Supersymmetry Breaking In Supersymmetric QCD,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **241** (1984) 493; T. R. Taylor, G. Veneziano and S. Yankielowicz, “Supersymmetric QCD And Its Massless Limit: An Effective Lagrangian Analysis,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **218** (1983) 493.

- [18] Y. V. Fyodorov, “Negative Moments Of Characteristic Polynomials Of Random Matrices: Ingham-Siegel Integral As An Alternative To Hubbard-Stratonovich Transformation,” Nucl. Phys. B **621** (2002) 643 [arXiv:math-ph/0106006].
- [19] N. Seiberg, “Exact results on the space of vacua of four-dimensional SUSY gauge theories,” Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 6857 [arXiv:hep-th/9402044].
- [20] K. A. Intriligator, R. G. Leigh and N. Seiberg, “Exact superpotentials in four-dimensions,” Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 1092 [arXiv:hep-th/9403198].
- [21] Work in progress.
- [22] H. Suzuki, “Perturbative Derivation of Exact Superpotential for Meson Fields from Matrix Theories with One Flavour,” arXiv:hep-th/0211052.